

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 1110007 DATE: <u>03/27/2009</u> ARRIVE: <u>10:30</u> DEPART: <u>11:45</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-FT PIERCE (EAST) READY-MIX			
FACILITY LOCATION: 514 S 3RD ST			
FORT PIERCE 34950			
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER PHONE: (561)820-8415			
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:			
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 10/12/2008 / 10/12/2013			
(effective date) (end date)			
PART I: <u>INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS</u> (check ☑ only one box)			
☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE			
PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C.			
(check ☐ appropriate box(es))			
Stack Emissions			
1. Were visible emissions tests conducted during this site visit according to EPA Method 9 (Ref.: Chapter 62-297, F.A.C.)?			
2. Are emissions from silos, weigh hoppers (batchers), and other enclosed storage and conveying equipment controlled to the extent necessary to limit visible emissions to 5 percent opacity?			
3. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate that is representative of the normal silo loading rate, or at least at the minimum 25 tons per hour rate,			
unless such rate is unachievable in practice? Yes No			
4. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector? (If answer to this question is "Yes", then continue on to questions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If answer is "No" then			
skip 4.a) and 4.b) and continue on to question 5.)			
b) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batching rate and duration?			
5. If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector, which is separate from the silo dust collector, are the visible emissions tests of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust collector			
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and duration? Yes No			

PART II: TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.) 1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	he ⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 2. Did this facility demonstrate: a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation? b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	☐Yes ☐ No
Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits) 3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?	
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.) 4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	e 🗌
 Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ⊠only one box.</i>) If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————	

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS - Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check ☑ appropriate box(es))				
<u>Unconfined</u> <u>Emissions</u> – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)				
1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined				
emissions by:				
a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:				
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?				
emissions?				
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to				
re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to				
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind	I breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of			
particulate matter from stock piles?		⊠Yes □ No		
b) use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate	emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes No		
DADTIV. CDECIAL CONDITIONS AND DEOCEDIDES. D	wlo 62 210 200(4)(J)4 E A C			
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES - R A. New or Modified Process Equipment	ule 62-210.300(4)(a)4., F.A.C.			
A. New of Mounted Process Equipment				
Since the last inspection has there been				
a) installation of any new process equipment?		□Yes □ No		
b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?				
c) replacement of existing equipment substantially diffe		□Yes □ No		
recent notification form? Yes No				
d) If you answered YES to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete				
notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or				
local program office?		□Yes □ No		
	00/07/0000			
Patricia Tampas, Jeremy Vincent	03/27/2009			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
•				
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection	_		
	•			
COMMENTS. The pop off valve was force open during the loading	ag of dry concret into the sile. The operator av	plained the the		

COMMENTS: The pop off valve was force open during the loading of dry concret into the silo. The operator explained the the emergency shut off was tampered with, keeping the system from shutting down the truck's ability to overfill the silo. The wind was from the south and blew cement dust to cover the vehicles in the auto dealership next door.